

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------|------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/446,641 | | 12/22/1999 | TSUYONOBU HATAZAWA | P99.2641 | 2680 | |
| 26263 | 7590 | 02/15/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | NATH & ROSE | DOVE, TRACY MAE | | | |
| P.O. BOX (WACKER | | TATION, SEARS | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| CHICAGO | | | | 1745 | | |

DATE MAILED: 02/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|--|---|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/446,641 | HATAZAWA ET AL. | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | - |
| | Tracy Dove | 1745 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>06 February 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folked places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compact following time periods: The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date or | owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in oliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl | affidavit, or other evidence compliance with 37 (| ence, which CFR 41.31; or |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th Ian SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o I. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI T). | of the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE | D WITHIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month parned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimate a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS | extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal o | of the appeal. |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.2) | onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); otter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re | TE below); educing or simplifying | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | 121. See attached Notice of Non-C | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | allowable if submitted in a separate | - | _ |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 10,14-17,22-26 and 29. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | y⊠ will not be entered, or b) | vill be entered and an | explanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | nd sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence | is necessary |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S | eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d) | ails to provide a (1). |
| 10. | on of the status of the claims after (| entry is below or attac | cned. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | ut does NOT place the application i | in condition for allowa | ance because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) | . (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | No(s) | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claim 10 requiring the first and the second fluorocarbon polymer to be a polyvinylidene fluoride/hexafluoropropylene copolymer would require further consideration and/or search. Also, new claim 30 contains subject matter not previously considered.

TRACY DOVE

2/06